

## MY WEIRD PROMPTS

Podcast Transcript

### EPISODE #302

# Hardware Trust: How C2PA is Saving Digital Reality

Published January 26, 2026 • Runtime: 24:38

<https://myweirdprompts.com/episode/hardware-level-content-provenance/>

## EPISODE SYNOPSIS

In this episode of My Weird Prompts, hosts Corn and Herman Poppleberry tackle the growing crisis of digital trust in an age of AI-generated hallucinations. They explore the Content Authenticity Initiative (CAI) and the C2PA standard, explaining how industry giants like Sony, Google, and Leica are moving authentication from software into the silicon of the cameras themselves. From the Google Pixel 10's hardware-backed security to Sony's professional-grade video signatures, the duo breaks down how these "digital nutrition labels" provide a tamper-evident audit trail for every pixel captured. They also discuss the future of mobile journalism with apps like ProofMode and what this shift means for the average user. Is the era of "seeing is believing" over, or is hardware-level provenance our best defense against a world of deepfakes? Tune in to learn how the tech industry is building a new foundation for truth in the digital age.

## DANIEL'S PROMPT

## Daniel

"I'd like to talk today about content authenticity and the Content Authenticity Initiative (CAI). We've previously discussed steganography and watermarking in AI, but proving reality actually requires a higher standard of proof. The CAI is a six-year-old project developing a standardized format for authenticity data, including an open-source SDK available in various languages. Sony has recently joined the initiative, which is significant because it allows for authenticity certification at the hardware level. This involves metadata richness, immutability, and checksums to validate that content hasn't been tampered with. Do you think the future of content authenticity will be at the hardware level, eventually rolled out to every smartphone? Will current evidence-gathering apps become obsolete or be reserved for law enforcement? Also, which other manufacturers are adopting this standard, and what could be developed using the CAI SDK?"

# TRANSCRIPT

## Corn

Hey everyone, welcome back to My Weird Prompts. We are coming to you from our usual spot here in Jerusalem, and I have to say, the air feels a bit more grounded today than the digital world does. I am Corn, and as always, I am joined by my brother and resident technical deep-diver.

## Herman

Herman Poppleberry, ready and waiting. And you are right about the digital world, Corn. It feels like every time we refresh our feeds, the line between what is real and what is a very convincing hallucination gets a little blurrier.

## Corn

It really does. And that is exactly what our housemate Daniel was getting at with the prompt he sent over this morning. He was asking about the Content Authenticity Initiative, or the C A I, and the technical standards that are trying to give us a way to actually prove that a photo or a video is what it says it is.

## Herman

It is a perfect follow up to our recent discussions on steganography and those invisible A I watermarks. But Daniel pointed out something crucial: watermarking A I is one thing, but proving reality is a much higher bar. It is the difference between saying this was made by a machine and being able to prove this was captured by a human in a specific place at a specific time.

## Corn

Exactly. And Daniel mentioned that Sony recently joined the initiative in a big way, bringing authenticity certification down to the hardware level. So today we are going to dig into the C two P A standard, the hardware shift we are seeing in cameras and smartphones, and what this means for the future of trust.

## Herman

I love this topic because it is not just a software patch. We are talking about silicon-level trust. We are talking about a world where the camera itself becomes a notary.

## Corn

So let us start with the basics for a second. We have mentioned the C A I and C two P A. For the people who might be hearing these acronyms for the first time or getting them mixed up, how do they fit together?

## Herman

That is a great place to start because they are often used interchangeably, but they have distinct roles. The Content Authenticity Initiative, or C A I, is the broad industry group. It was founded back in two thousand nineteen by Adobe, the New York Times, and Twitter, which is now X. Their goal was to create a system for digital provenance. Think of it as the community and the vision. Now, the C two P A stands for the Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity. That is the formal standards body that actually writes the technical specifications. If the C A I is the club, the C two P A is the rulebook.

## Corn

And that rulebook has been around for about six years now, which is surprisingly long in tech years. But it feels like it is only just now hitting the mainstream. Daniel mentioned Sony's recent involvement. Why is that such a turning point?

## Herman

Sony's move is massive because they are essentially the king of the professional imaging world. They did not just join the committee; they started shipping actual hardware-level solutions. Just this past October, in two thousand twenty-five, Sony launched what they called the industry's first video-compatible camera authenticity solution. This includes a new camcorder, the P X W dash Z three hundred, which can embed C two P A signatures directly into video files at the point of capture.

## Corn

Wait, so it is not just a digital signature added later in an app? It is happening inside the camera's guts while the sensor is recording?

## Herman

Exactly. It is happening in the camera's secure processing environment. They are using what they call a Digital Signature Upgrade License. It is currently aimed at news organizations and broadcasters. They have been testing this with the B B C to validate video content. And it is not just that one camcorder. Sony rolled out firmware updates in January of two thousand twenty-five for their flagship mirrorless cameras, the Alpha one, the Alpha one Mark two, and the Alpha nine Mark three. These updates allow those cameras to cryptographically sign images as they are written to the memory card.

## Corn

That is fascinating because it addresses the chain of custody problem. If you take a photo and then send it to a news desk, how do they know you did not run it through a generative filler or an A I enhancer in between? If the signature happens at the sensor level, any change after that breaks the seal.

## Herman

Precisely. It creates a tamper-evident audit trail. If you open a signed file and even one pixel has been modified, the checksum will not match, and the manifest will show that the content's integrity has been compromised. Sony is even using three D depth data in some of their signatures. They can verify that the image was a real three D scene and not a flat screen being re-photographed.

## Corn

That is a clever way to stop the old trick of just taking a photo of a fake image on a monitor. But Daniel's prompt also touched on a big question: will this stay a professional tool, or is it coming to the smartphones in our pockets?

## Herman

It is already arriving. We should talk about the Google Pixel ten. Google released the Pixel ten series late last year, and they made a huge deal about it being the first smartphone lineup to have Content Credentials built-in across every photo created by the native camera app.

## Corn

I remember seeing that. They are using the Tensor G five chip and the Titan M two security chip for this, right?

### Herman

Right. And this is where it gets technical. The Pixel ten achieved what is called Assurance Level two in the C two P A conformance program. That is currently the highest security rating for a mobile app. Most software-only solutions are Level one. To get to Level two, you need that hardware-backed security. Google is using Android Key Attestation to prove that the signature is coming from a genuine, physical Pixel device and not an emulator or a hacked piece of software.

### Corn

So when I take a photo on a Pixel ten, it is not just adding metadata like my location and shutter speed. It is actually sealing that data with a hardware key?

### Herman

Yes, and it includes what they call a trusted timestamp. This is really cool, Corn. Usually, your phone's clock can be manually changed. But the Pixel ten uses a hardware-backed secure clock to ensure the timestamp is accurate, even if the device is offline. So you cannot fake the time of an event. It also adds a specific label called computational capture.

### Corn

Computational capture. Most people probably do not realize that every smartphone photo today is already a heavy piece of math. It is merging multiple frames, adjusting colors, and sharpening edges. Does that count as a modification?

### Herman

That is the brilliance of the C two P A manifest. It does not say modification is bad; it just provides transparency. The manifest will explicitly state that the image was created via computational capture. If you then use one of those A I tools to move a person in the frame or change the sky, the manifest updates to say edited using generative A I. The goal isn't to stop people from editing; it is to make sure the viewer knows what they are looking at. It is like a nutrition label for pixels.

### Corn

I like that analogy. But here is where I see a potential friction point. If everyone starts expecting these digital nutrition labels, what happens to people using older devices? Or what happens to the apps Daniel mentioned, like ProofMode, which were designed to gather evidence in the field?

### Herman

That is a great question. Daniel was wondering if these apps would become obsolete. I actually think it is the opposite. I think they are going to become more specialized and more powerful. Take ProofMode, which is developed by the Guardian Project. They have already integrated the C two P A toolkits into their apps. In April of two thousand twenty-five, they even partnered with an app called CuttingRoom Reporter to bring these features to mobile journalists.

### Corn

So if the hardware is doing it anyway, why would a journalist still use an app like ProofMode?

### Herman

Because ProofMode does more than just sign the image. It captures what they call rich metadata. It is not just the time and place; it is the light sensor data, the Wi-Fi networks in range, the cellular tower I Ds, and even the barometric pressure. It creates a much denser web of evidence that is harder to spoof than just a simple image signature. For human rights activists or legal teams, that extra layer of context is vital. Hardware-level C two P A is the baseline, but these evidence-gathering apps are the high-resolution version of trust.

### Corn

That makes sense. It is like the difference between a standard receipt and a notarized contract. Both prove a transaction happened, but one holds up a lot better under intense scrutiny.

### Herman

Exactly. And there is also the privacy aspect. One of the things I love about the C A I approach is that it is designed to be private by default. When Google implemented it on the Pixel ten, they used a private-by-design certificate management system. It prevents people from being able to link different images to the same user or device across the internet. You get the proof of authenticity without a permanent digital tracking number attached to your identity.

### Corn

That is a delicate balance to strike. You want to prove the image is real, but you do not necessarily want to dox the photographer, especially in sensitive situations.

### Herman

Precisely. Now, Daniel also asked about other manufacturers. We have talked about Sony and Google, but the circle is widening. Leica was actually the pioneer here. They released the M eleven dash P back in late two thousand twenty-three as the world's first camera with Content Credentials built-in. Since then, they have added it to the M eleven dash D and the S L three.

### Corn

And what about the other big names? Nikon and Canon?

### Herman

They are moving, though a bit more deliberately. Nikon released a massive firmware update, version two point zero, for the Z six Mark three in August of last year. That update opened up their Nikon Authenticity Service to everyone, not just professional agencies. It allows the Z six Mark three to sign images with C two P A-compliant credentials. Canon also announced support for the E O S R one and the R five Mark two. It is becoming a standard feature for any high-end professional body.

### Corn

It feels like we are reaching a tipping point where, if you are a professional photographer and your camera does not support C two P A, your work might eventually be viewed with a bit of skepticism by major publishers.

### Herman

I think that is exactly where we are heading. It is going to become a requirement for wire services like the Associated Press or Agence France-Presse. In fact, A F P was one of the early testers of this tech with Nikon. They realized that in a world of deepfakes, their reputation is their only product. If they can't prove their photos are real, they don't have a business.

### Corn

Let us talk about the developer side for a minute. Daniel mentioned the open-source S D K. If I am a developer and I want to build something using the C A I tools, what does that actually look like?

### Herman

It is actually quite accessible. The C A I has done a great job of making sure you do not have to be a cryptography expert to use this. Their S D K is available on GitHub and through their verification site. It supports C plus plus, Python, Node dot J S, and Rust. There are even specific libraries for Android and i O S.

### Corn

What kind of things could someone build with that? Beyond just another camera app?

### Herman

Oh, the possibilities are huge. Imagine a social media platform that automatically displays a little verified icon next to any image that has a valid C two P A manifest. Or a web browser extension that lets you hover over any image on a news site to see exactly where it came from and if it was edited. Developers can build tools to parse these manifests, display the history of the file, and even verify the signatures against a list of trusted certificate authorities.

### Corn

I could see this being huge for something like online marketplaces. If you are buying a used car or a piece of jewelry, you could see a signed photo from the seller that proves the item was actually in their possession at a specific time. No more stock photos or three-year-old pictures being passed off as current.

### Herman

That is a brilliant use case. It is all about reducing the cost of trust. Right now, trust is expensive. We have to hire inspectors or use third-party escrows. If the data itself can prove its own history, everything gets more efficient. You could even build a plug-in for something like WordPress that automatically checks every image uploaded to a blog and warns the editor if the provenance is missing or broken.

### Corn

It really feels like we are building a new layer of the internet. We had the original web of information, then the social web, and now we are building the web of provenance.

### Herman

I like that. The Provenance Web. And it is not just for images. The C two P A standard is designed to handle audio and video as well. We are going to see this in digital documents, too. Imagine a world where every government P D F is cryptographically signed at the source, so you know for a fact it hasn't been altered by a third party.

### Corn

It is interesting that this is all open-source. Daniel pointed that out as a big plus. Why is openness so important for a standard like this?

### Herman

Because if it were a closed system owned by one company, it would never be a global standard. No one wants Adobe or Microsoft to be the sole arbiters of truth. By making it open-source and based on open standards, it allows for interoperability. A photo taken on a Sony camera can be edited in Photoshop, then viewed in a Google Chrome browser, and the manifest stays intact and verifiable the whole way through. That only works if everyone is playing by the same open rulebook.

### Corn

It is the same reason the internet itself succeeded. It wasn't a proprietary network; it was a set of open protocols.

### Herman

Exactly. And we are seeing that ecosystem grow. There are now over six thousand members in the C A I. It includes everyone from hardware makers like Arm and Qualcomm to software giants like Microsoft and even human rights organizations like Witness. It is a massive, diverse coalition that is all pushing in the same direction.

### Corn

So, looking ahead, do you think we will eventually reach a point where every smartphone has this? Not just the high-end Pixels and iPhones, but the budget models too?

### Herman

I do. I think it will follow the same path as things like encryption or G P S. It starts as a premium feature for pros and early adopters, then it gets baked into the mobile chipsets themselves. Qualcomm has already started working with Truepic to integrate C two P A support into their Snapdragon processors. Once it is in the silicon that goes into hundreds of millions of mid-range phones, it becomes the default. In five years, a camera app that doesn't sign its images will feel as outdated as a phone without a camera.

### Corn

It is a bit of a double-edged sword, though, isn't it? On one hand, we get a more truthful digital world. On the other hand, we create a world where if you can't prove you are real, you are assumed to be fake.

### Herman

That is the big societal shift we have to navigate. We are moving from a world where we assumed things were real until proven otherwise, to a world where we might assume everything is synthetic unless it comes with a digital receipt. It is a bit cynical, but in a world where A I can generate a perfect video of almost anything, it might be the only way to maintain a shared reality.

### Corn

It is a heavy thought. But I suppose that is why these standards are being built now, before the flood of synthetic content completely overwhelms us.

### Herman

Exactly. We are building the levees before the storm hits. And the good news is that the levees are actually looking pretty strong. Between the hardware-level signing in cameras like the Sony P X W dash Z three hundred and the smartphone integration in the Pixel ten, the infrastructure for trust is finally being deployed.

### Corn

It is definitely a space to watch. And for our listeners who are developers or just tech-curious, I really recommend checking out the S D K and the verification tools at [verify dot content authenticity dot org](https://verify.dotcontentauthenticity.org). It is actually really cool to take a photo from a supported device and see the whole manifest pop up. It makes the invisible visible.

### Herman

It really does. You can see the thumbnail of the original capture, the list of edits, and the cryptographic signatures. It is like looking under the hood of a digital file.

### Corn

Well, I think we have covered a lot of ground here. From the silicon in our phones to the camcorders in newsrooms, the battle for reality is getting a very technical upgrade.

### Herman

It really is. And I have to say, I'm feeling a bit more optimistic about it than I was a year ago. The fact that this is all happening in the open and across so many different companies is a great sign.

### Corn

I agree. It is a rare moment of industry-wide collaboration for the greater good. So, thanks to Daniel for sending in that prompt. It really forced us to look at the progress that's been made while we were busy looking at other things.

**Herman**

Definitely. And hey, if you are out there listening and you find these deep dives into the plumbing of the internet interesting, we would love to hear from you.

**Corn**

Yeah, absolutely. If you have been enjoying My Weird Prompts, a quick rating or review on Spotify or your favorite podcast app really does help the show reach new people. We appreciate all of you who have been with us for these nearly three hundred episodes.

**Herman**

It is a lot of talking, Corn. But there is always more to explore.

**Corn**

There always is. You can find us at our website, my weird prompts dot com, where we have the full archive and a contact form if you want to send us a prompt of your own.

**Herman**

Or just to say hi. We like that, too.

**Corn**

Alright, that's it for us today. This has been My Weird Prompts. We will be back next week with another exploration of the strange, the technical, and the deeply human.

**Herman**

Until next time, stay curious and maybe check the metadata on that next viral video you see.

**Corn**

Good advice. Bye everyone.

**Herman**

Take care.